

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 9, 11-15, and 17-20 are pending in the application. Claims 2, 7, 10, and 16 have been cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein.

It is noted that the claims amendments are made only for pointing out the claimed invention more particularly, and not for distinguishing the invention over the prior art, narrowing the claims, or for statutory requirements for patentability. Further Applicant specifically states that no amendment to any claim herein should be construed as a disclaimer of any interest in or right to an equivalent of any element or feature of the amended claim.

Claims 1 and 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Walke et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,095,722) (hereinafter Walke) in view of Margon et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0214933) (hereinafter Margon). **Claims 9 and 11-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)** over Walke in view of Margon and further in view of Lor et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0068668) (hereinafter Lor). **Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)** over Lor in view of Margon. **Claims 2-3, 7, 10, 16, and 18-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)** over Walke in view of Margon and further in view of Whelan et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0203593)(hereinafter Whelan).

In the Office Action, the Examiner alleges that Walke and Margon would be combined to teach the claimed invention described by claims 1 and 8. Next, the Examiner alleges that Walke, Margon, and Lor would be combined to teach the invention as recited in claims 9 and 11-15.

However, further to the Interview Summary filed on September 30, 2009 reflecting the personal conduct of the personal interview of August 31, 2009, Applicant has amended

the independent claims to incorporate the subject matter of dependent claim 2 and to further specify that "the first wireless LAN terminal and the second wireless LAN terminal being wirelessly connected." Accordingly, Applicant submits that the independent claims are now allowable.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that claims 1, 3-6, 8, 9, 11-15, and 17-20, all the claims presently pending in the application, are patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the above application to issue at the earliest possible time.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Date:

10/30/9

Respectfully Submitted,



Joseph P Hrutka
Registration 53,918Sean M. McGinn, Esq.
Registration No. 34,386

McGinn Intellectual Property Law Group, PLLC
8321 Old Courthouse Rd., Suite 200
Vienna, Virginia 22182
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254